1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 2 ROWENA WAGNER, 3 Plaintiff 4 : Case No. 04-264 Erie V. 5 CRAWFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT; CRAWFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL BOARD; 6 MICHAEL E. DOLECKI, SUPERINTENDENT; : CHARLES E. HELLER, III, ASSISTANT 7 SUPERINTENDENT, Defendants 8 and 9 THE CRAWFORD CENTRAL EDUCATION 10 ASSOCIATION, Defendant 11 12 13 14 15 Deposition of BERNARD WAGNER, taken before 16 and by Sondra A. Black, Notary Public in and for the 17 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on Tuesday, August 30, 18 2005, commencing at 10:22 a.m., at the offices of 19 Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, PC, 120 West Tenth 20 Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16501. 21 22 23 24 25 Reported by Sondra A. Black Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc.

Case 1:04-cv-00264-SJM Document 68 Filed 06/26/2006 Page 2 of 55

1 BERNARD WAGNER, first having 2 been duly sworn, testified as follows: 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. KUHAR: 6 7 Good morning, Mr. Wagner. Q. 8 Α. Good morning. 9 I represent the Crawford Central Education -- I'm sorry, I represent the Crawford Central School District, the 10 11 School Board, and the other Defendants in this matter, other 12 than the Crawford Central Education Association. We're here 13 for your deposition. Have you been deposed before? 14 Α. I think so. 15 Well, the basic rules for the deposition are pretty 16 simple. You'll be asked questions by me, and perhaps by the 17 other attorneys. I don't know, it's up to them. You will be 18 obligated to answer the questions truthfully, as you've just 19 sworn that you would. If you are asked questions that you 20 don't understand because they're grammatically improper or 21 confusing, you should tell the attorney who's asking the 22 question that you can't answer it and why, and that attorney 23 should rephrase it. I certainly will try to do so. 24 Also, because of the way this is recorded, you have

to give responses which are verbal. So as we all tend to

1 shrug our shoulders and nod our heads in everyday life, it's 2 not appropriate to do that here. If you mean yes, you have 3 to say yes; if you mean no, you have to say no verbally so 4 the court reporter can hear us. Do you understand those 5 rules? 6 Yes, sir. Α. 7 Are you employed? 0. 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 0. What's your full name? 10 Α. Bernard Ralph Wagner. 11 Where are you employed? Ο. 12 Α. Patterson UTI out of Texas. 13 Q. I'm sorry, what kind of business is that? 14 Α. Oil well and gas well business. 15 Is that full-time? Ο. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 How long have you held that --Q. 18 Α. 10 years. 19 Q. What are your duties you actually perform? I think 20 you said the company was in Texas. 21 Α. Yeah, but they're located -- they have branches all 22 over the United States. 23 Q. So they have a branch in Crawford County? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 Where in Crawford County? Q.

1 In Meadville, Pennsylvania. It's under Universal --2 we run under Universal in Meadville. That's a -- that's like 3 a subsidiary of Patterson UTI. 4 What position do you hold there? Ο. 5 I'm a dispatcher supervisor right now. That's what Α. 6 I do now. 7 So you supervise workmen? Ο. 8 Yes. I call them in, assign jobs to them, stuff 9 like that. Paperwork. 10 So you've briefly described your position for us. 11 What's your formal educational background? 12 Α. Just high school. 13 0. What high school did you graduate from? 14 Α. Cocurrent High School. 15 When? Ο. 16 Α. '61. 17 Now, you're married? Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. To the Plaintiff in this case? 20 Right. Rowena Wagner. Α. 21 Q. How long have you been married? 22 Α. 11, going on 12 years. 23 I heard you recently had a child. Q. 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 Congratulations. How many children do you have? Q.

1 Α. Seven. 2 0. Seven? 3 But four to -- four to Rowena and three to my Α. previous marriage. 4 5 0. Do you recall being at a meeting with your brother, 6 Fred -- you have a brother, Fred, right? 7 Yes, sir. Α. Do you recall being at a meeting with your brother 8 9 at which there were also Crawford Central School District 10 administrators? 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 How many of those meetings do you recall? 13 One -- oh, I been -- I been a -- I take Α. 14 that back. I've been to several meetings -- several school 15 board meetings and that. I don't know what meeting you're 16 referring to anymore. 17 I was trying to figure out how many there were 18 total. I do have one that I think occurred that I wanted to 19 ask you about in particular. But as distinguished from a 20 school board meeting, a sit-down meeting. How many sit-down 21 meetings do you recall that you've had with Crawford Central 22 administrators at which your brother was also present? 23 MR. NICHOLS: Objection. Counsel, clarify or 24 specify what you mean. It's ambiguous. I mean, if 25 you have a specific meeting you want to refer to,

1 specify. Don't wander. 2 MR. KUHAR: The nature of this proceeding is that 3 you can note objections, like I think you are to 4 this. I think my question was clear enough that I 5 want the deponent to answer it. 6 MR. NICHOLS: Well, your question is ambiguous, at 7 least. 8 I'm running on the assumption that MR. KUHAR: 9 we're deferring objections such as that one, to the 10 form of the question -- that you're preserving them 11 to raise later. 12 Is that your assumption, Mr. McEwen? 13 MR. MCEWEN: That's normally how it happens in 14 depositions that I've been involved in previously. 15 0. Do you understand the question, Mr. Wagner? 16 Α. Yes and no. 17 MR. NICHOLS: Don't speculate. Don't speculate. 18 Α. Could I talk to him? 19 Q. Is he your attorney? 20 MR. NICHOLS: Yes, I'm his counsel. 21 MR. KUHAR: Okay. 22 (Discussion held off the record.) 23 Let me try to rephrase the question. The only 24 reason I said sit-down meeting, which seemed to be the 25 trigger for this objection -- the only reason I said that was

1 to distinguish it from the school board meetings that you 2 referenced. And I haven't worked up my question yet, which 3 is, I just want to know how many times did you have meetings, 4 whether you want to call them sit-down meetings or 5 face-to-face meetings, where you met with administrators of 6 the Crawford Central School District? Just you and 7 administrators, or you and your brother and administrators. 8 I just want to know, first of all, how many times do you 9 recall that that happened? 10 Α. Probably three or four. 11 Ο. Now I want you to tell me what you recall of those 12 meetings. Namely, when were they, who was there, and then 13 I'm going to ask you what was said. If you can remember them 14 in order, that's fine, but if you can't, that's fine, too. 15 Α. Donald Wigden. 16 Who's Donald Wigden? Ο. 17 Α. He's the principal. 18 Q. Of which school? 19 Α. Cochranton. 20 Cochranton Elementary? Q. 21 Α. Senior high. 22 You had a conversation with him? Not a board Q. 23 meeting, you had a regular conversation? 24 Yeah, regular, right. Α.

You understand what I mean by the question?

25

Q.

1 Α. Yeah. I understand. I understand. And it was just 2 recently. 3 You mean in '05? Ο. Α. Yes. Probably two weeks ago, maybe. 5 What was the subject of that? 0. 6 Α. Steroids. 7 Did that conversation in any way relate to your Q. 8 wife's employment or efforts to become employed by the 0 District? 10 Α. No. 1.1 Ο. So then I'm not going to ask you any more questions 12 about that one. 13 Α. Okay. 14 Give me the next one you can recall. Whatever order 15 vou want. 16 I had about -- probably two weeks ago or so I talked 17 to Mr. Dolecki one day about steroids. I asked to have a --18 if I could talk to him face-to-face about the steroid 19 problem, what they was doing about it, if they had a program 20 infulated (sic) about it because it's -- you know, it's one

of the top priorities in our school system today all over --

Q. So you asked Mr. Dolecki about that?

with our young people all over America.

24 A. Yes, sir.

21

22

23

25 O. When was that?

1 Α. Probably within the last couple weeks. 2 Where did that conversation take place? Q. 3 At Crawford Central Administration Building. Α. 4 Ο. Was that a scheduled meeting? 5 A. No. 6 0. You just arrived --7 Α. Well, I -- I took some -- a letter in for my wife, 8 and then I -- then I asked the secretary if I could talk to 9 him. 10 0. Then he did agree to talk to you? 11 Α. Yes. 12 How long did that meeting last? Ο. 13 Α. Maybe five minutes. 14 Q. What was the letter that you were taking in 15 regarding your wife? 16 An application for a job. Α. 17 Q. With the Crawford Central School District? 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 Was there any conversation about that application? 0. 20 Α. No. Not to my knowledge, no. 21 Q. Did that meeting that you just talked to me -- that 22 you just discussed a little bit, did that have anything to do with your wife's employment or efforts to gain employment 23 24 with the District? 25 No. Just the safety of our students in Crawford

1 Central School District. 2 What about the third one? I think you said three or 3 four. I -- I think I may have met one time privately with 4 5 Mr. Heller about my wife's employment. Probably -- 2003 6 maybe. I don't know. Discussed it -- I don't know. I can't 7 put a date on it. But there was another one where my brother 8 and myself met with Mr. Dolecki and Mr. Haller. 9 So just to be clear on the record, and for everybody 10 here, you just referenced two different conversations, one 11 where Mr. Dolecki was present and one where he was not, and 12 as well where your brother was present and not? 13 Α. Right. 14 What do you remember, if anything, about the one where neither Mr. Dolecki nor your brother were present? 15 16 I -- I really can't recall too much about that 17 meeting. 18 Q. Is it fair to say, sitting here today, you can't 19 recall anything about what was said during that meeting? 20 Α. No. 21 Q. What can you recall? 22 MR. NICHOLS: Counsel, he said he didn't recall. 23 MR. KUHAR: Then I asked whether he could recall 24 nothing, and he said, no. 25 MR. NICHOLS: Well, he said he couldn't recall.

1 You have your right to -- don't badger him. 2 all I'm asking, but please don't badger him. And 3 I'll extend the same courtesy to you when I depose 4 your clients. 5 Ο. Can you answer the question? 6 I really can't answer that truthfully because I --Α. 7 it was -- it was back, I think, in 2002. But I can't -- I 8 can't actually -- you know, it was about her employment, I'm 9 sure, but I can't -- the details of it I can't recall. 10 So you recall that the subject was, your wife's 11 employment with the District? 12 Α. Right. 13 Q. But regarding what actual words were used by you or 14 Mr. Dolecki, you don't recall those? 15 No, it wasn't Mr. Dolecki. Α. 16 Q. I'm sorry, I misspoke. Mr. Heller. Thank you. 17 Α. Right. 18 Ο. You don't recall the words that were used? 19 Α. No. Not really. Not really. 20 What about any points that were made? Do you recall Q. 21 making any points or that Mr. --22 Α. At that meeting with Mr. --23 Or that Mr. Heller made any points? 0. 24 MR. NICHOLS: What are you referring to "points"? 25 MR. KUHAR: I'm doing my best to conduct a test of

1 his memory, and he's answering the questions. Let 2 him. 3 MR. NICHOLS: Do you know what points mean? What 4 are you talking about? 5 Α. I can't remember --6 MR. NICHOLS: Wait a minute. 7 (Discussion held off the record.) 8 MR. NICHOLS: He said he can't remember. Do you --0 don't badger him. And I'm instructing him not to 10 speculate. He testified what facts -- but you have 11 no right to insist upon speculation from him. All 12 right. 13 MR. MCEWEN: I would like to object for the record 14 on counsel's interruptions here. I think it's very 15 disruptive to the process. This is not a hearing. 16 It's a deposition where the purpose is for the 17 Defendants, including the District and the 18 Association, to gain information. We have to ask 19 questions in order to get information. And these 20 objections perhaps would be relevant at a hearing, 21 but they're not relevant in a deposition. 22 MR. NICHOLS: Mr. McEwen, please. I'm his counsel. 23 I'm not a potted plant here. I know the ground 24 rules. Please don't lecture me. 25 MR. MCEWEN: Well, it doesn't appear that way.

1 MR. NICHOLS: Don't lecture me, please. 2 MR. MCEWEN: I'm not lecturing you. I'm stating an 3 objection for the record. MR. NICHOLS: You'll have your right, your time, 4 5 counsel. MR. MCEWEN: Well, you're interfering with our 6 rights as I see it right now. 8 MR. NICHOLS: Well, then you state your objection 9 for the record, all right, whatever they are. 10 MR. KUHAR: I want the record to reflect that I 11 have not raised my voice whatsoever when speaking 12 with this deponent, and that I have no idea what 13 Mr. Nichols means when he refers to badgering the 14 witness. I'm trying to, as courteously as 15 possible, test the memory of the deponent regarding 16 these meetings that he referenced with 17 administrators, which I'm entitled to do. 18 And I ask you again, as civilly as possible, and in 19 as calm a voice as possible, do you remember anything else 20 about the meeting that you had with Mr. Heller that you 21 referenced a minute ago? 22 No. I can't really. Α. 23 Fine. Thanks. Now, the other meeting that you 24 referenced where your brother was present and Mr. Dolecki was 25 present, do you recall telling me a few minutes ago that you

1 had such a meeting? 2 Yes, sir. 3 Now, I want to know all you can remember about that 4 meeting, where it was, how long it lasted. As best you can recall. If you can't recall, it's fine to tell me that you 5 6 can't recall. But I do want to test your memory about that 7 meeting and find out what happened there. 8 It took place at Crawford Central Administration 9 Building. 10 Q. Okay. 11 And it was -- the reason -- we went there to discuss 12 a statement made by School Director George Wright. 13 You and your wife went there? Ο. 14 Α. No. My brother, Fred, and I went there. 15 Ο. I'm sorry. Okay. You and your brother, Fred. 16 And, also, to discuss minority hiring. Α. 17 Generally? Q. Right. 18 Α. 19 Q. Okay. 20 And, also, we discussed that -- at that meeting Α. 21 Brown versus Brown case from 1954 of -- what's on the books. 22 And, also, funding -- Federal funding and State funding 23 regulations. 24 Now, is that one -- did you say "funding 25 regulations"?

1 A. Yes.

- Q. Go ahead.
- A. That they're supposed to follow.
 - Q. Go ahead if there's more.
 - A. And that's what -- that's actually what the agenda was.
 - Q. So that's what the agenda was in advance, in your mind -- I mean, that's why you went there, and, in fact, that's what you talked about when you went there?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Were there any subjects that the administrators refused to talk to you about?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. What do you recall being said by any of those four participants on these subjects? Do you recall any of what was actually said?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Please tell us about that.
 - A. My conversation mostly was with Mr. Heller. And -- and our first thing was, I was very upset, the reason I took my brother along is that -- to make sure everything was civilized, and there was nothing -- if something happened later on, nothing could be denied by one another because it was -- he was there with me.
 - Q. Nothing could be, did you say, denied?

A. You know what -- what -- yes.

- Q. I just wanted to hear your word clearly.
- A. And at that time my wife was trying to get a job -- full-time job as a teacher through Crawford Central. And there was a --
- Q. I had asked you what you recall of that. I don't know if that helps.
 - A. I'm trying to put it in --
 - Q. Sure. Just trying to jog your memory.
- A. The first thing that come up was that my wife and I had met several times with one of the school directors,
 George Wright, on his statement. That, he was on the radio, he had made a couple months earlier about minority hiring in Crawford Central District. And my wife and I had probably —
 I'd say several meetings with Mr. Wright on that issue of minority hiring, and the last and the reason I wanted to have a meeting with them guys, the administration, was to discuss the statement that he made on our final discussion as between Mr. Wright and myself.
 - Q. What was that?
- A. That statement was, Bernie, you have to understand that it's a proven fact that brown people and black people are not as smart as white people. And the second statement he said, also, was -- these are the last two, actually, statements I ever -- discussion I ever had with the man. He

- said, you know, your wife's never going to get a job with Crawford Central because you're a troublemaker, really.
 - Q. Did you say "really" at the end there? You're a troublemaker, really?
 - A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- A. He said I was a troublemaker, really. That was his statement. And so that's what triggered the meeting between Mr. Dolecki and Mr. Haller. That if a board member had that belief that brown people and black people are not as smart as white people, and it's a proven fact he said, that I needed to talk to the administration and see their feeling about that.
 - Q. That's what you felt? If Mr. Wright felt that, you needed to talk to the administration?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do I have it right?
- A. Yes, sir. And that's what, really, the meeting about -- that was the start of the meeting.
 - Q. What else do you recall of that meeting?
- A. What else was that -- that I checked their hiring of minorities --
 - Q. You checked their hiring?
- A. Yes. I talked to a lady and -- several people about minority hiring before I went -- before that evening.

1 Ο. Who was the lady, and who were the other people? 2 Mrs. Dickson. Α. 3 Armidia (phonetic)? Q. 4 Α. You got it. Armidia Dickson. Former president of 5 the school board, Jerry Dunn -- Jerry George Dunn. Sam Byrd, former school director. Lee McFearon, who was -- he worked 6 7 with Mr. Heller and the minority -- I think minority --8 trying to recoup minority hiring. Dr. Elton Scales from 9 Edinboro University. Reverend Stanley Smith. 10 Reverend Stanley Smith? 11 Of the John Baptist Church in Meadville, 12 Pennsylvania. Reverend Bernadette Newsome. 13 Ο. Bernadette Newsome? 14 Α. Newsome. 15 What church? Ο. 16 A. I can't tell you. 17 O. In Meadville? 18 Meadville. Reverend Burnett -- Marvin Burnett. I 19 also talked to the secretary of education and -- at 20 Harrisburg, and she asked me to send a letter to the governor 21 on this matter. 22 You spoke directly with the secretary of education? 23 I -- I can't -- yes. But I can't recall her name, 24 and -- she said to send a letter to the governor -- addressed 25 to the governor. Mr. Moody --

1 Q. What was the governor at that time? 2 Α. Rendell. 3 Walter Moody? Q. 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 What do you recall of your conversation with him? Ο. 6 Α. About his relatives trying to get a job with 7 Crawford Central. He's an African American. An attorney in 8 Topeka, Kansas named Attorney Stallard. 9 Were you talking to Attorney Stallard as a 10 attorney/client? 11 Α. No. He worked on -- he was -- he worked on the 12 Brown case. 13 And you're giving me this list of people because 14 these are people you talked to before --15 Α. Before --16 -- talking to the administrators? Q. 17 Α. Right. 18 Ο. Continue with the list, please. 19 And I think that's -- and my brother, Fred Wagner. Α. 20 I'm going to ask you about those conversations that Q. 21 you had with those people later, but you're giving me this as 22 background now? 23 Α. Right. 24 And that's fine. When I say things like that, I'm Q. 25 just trying to check my understanding to see if I got it

right. So you told us about those people that you talked to 1 sort of to set the stage as to why you went to talk to the 2 3 administration? 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 How long did your conversation with the 6 administration last? 7 Α. Probably 15 minutes to half an hour. 8 0. Where was it? 9 At the Crawford --Α. 10 I mean, do vou recall who's -- was it Mr. Dolecki's 0. 11 office? 12 Α. I think it was in his office, yes. I think it was 13 in his office. I -- I didn't look at the door. 14 I understand. I'm entitled to ask, and if you don't Ο. know, you don't know. 15 16 Α. Yeah. Yeah. 17 What do you recall of that actual conversation? You 18 already told me why you wanted to have it, and you told me 19 that that was what was discussed during that meeting, but now 20 I'm just asking you if you have any more detail regarding 21 what was said? 22 Yes. The Wright situation I addressed -- that was 23 the first thing I addressed to Mr. Heller and Mr. Dolecki. 24 Let me ask you a question, I don't mean to disrupt

you, but did your brother, Fred, say anything during the

1 meeting? 2 Α. Yes. 3 We'll get to that. So you told Mr. Heller about 0. 4 Mr. Wright's comment? 5 Yes, sir. Α. What else do you recall? 6 Ο. And Mr. Heller agreed that that is -- that's a Α. proven fact. 8 9 Q. He said so? 10 Yes. He agreed. He said some university -- I can't 11 tell you -- it was -- a university did a study, or a 12 professor -- I don't know. I can't actually --13 But he cited some source --Ο. 14 Α. Right. 15 Q. -- of a study? 16 Yeah. I don't -- can't remember -- couldn't recall 17 what it was. 18 What else do you recall? 19 I said, you know, this meeting is not just because 20 of my wife. It's to hire all -- to give all minorities a 21 chance because the percentage that we have in the Crawford 22 School District is less than 1 percent of the 23 administration -- teachers that's -- that is employed as 24 teachers. 25 Q. Who are minorities?

A. Right.

1 4

- Q. Okay.
- A. And we also got into the Brown -- I got into the Brown situation where there's supposed to be a 7 percent minority.
- Q. I'm sorry, there is supposed to be a 7 percent minority?
- A. If you read the Brown through. And that -- and, also, that --
- Q. I'm sorry, I don't mean to disrupt you, but you seem like you're about to move on to another subject. So I want to clarify. You advised them that the Brown decision requires 7 percent what? 7 percent minority teachers?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Go ahead.
- A. And they said, oh, them's just guidelines. Them's guidelines. And I also said, the State and Federal finances subsidizes the school district, you know, and they require -- you know, it's the law to do that. It's not guidelines, it's a law. And Mr. Heller said, no. That's more just guidelines.

And I also, at that meeting, informed them about the nepotism program that they had. You know, that so many teachers that they hire is through relatives get hired and -- you know, it's not how good you are, it's who you know.

- You told the administrators that that was your 1 2 perception of the situation? Yeah. It is. It's very true. I mean, Mr. --3 Α. 4 Q. Okay. But, I mean, did you ask them about that or 5 did you sort of challenge them about that, or how would you 6 describe it? They kind of brushed that aside. They brushed that Α. Because Mr. Dunn, the former president, said, that's 8 aside. how my sister-in-law got hired. It's not -- you know, if it 10 wouldn't have been for him and her brother-in-law being the 1.1 president of the board, she wouldn't have gotten back into 12 the School District as soon as she did. 13 Jerry George Dunn told you that? Q. 14 Α. Right. 15 Go ahead. What else do you recall of that meeting Q. 16 that you had? 17 The other part was, you know, that I informed them 18 that it wasn't just about my wife's hiring that I was there. 19 I wanted to see changes made, and if changes wasn't made, 20 then we would have to go to another step in the Federal 21 Court. 22 Do you recall anything else of that conversation? 0.
 - A. No. Not really. Not right now.

23

24

25

Q. You did reference that your brother, Fred, said something. What did he say?

1 Α. I really --2 Ο. If you can remember. I can't remember. 3 Α. 4 MR. NICHOLS: You're going to examine him, 5 counsel --6 I can't --Α. 7 MR. NICHOLS: -- why don't you let Fred Wagner 8 speak for himself. 9 MR. KUHAR: I will let him speak for himself. 10 I know he was concerned about my wife's hiring or he Α. 11 wouldn't have been there. 12 Q. Support? 13 Right. And the comment that a school director would 14 make in the 20th century -- in the 21st century. 15 Ο. Right. Well, you've told us what you recall of that 16 meeting, anyway -- what you can recall sitting here right 17 now, right? 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 Do you recall either of the administrators saying 20 anything else that you thought was inappropriate for the 21st 21 century? You know, the way you described Mr. Heller's 22 alleged affirmation --23 Conduct or whatever, yeah. You know, there's very 24 nice -- they just -- that regulations are just guidelines. 25 They're not to be -- they're not to be, you know, whatever --

- like fundings or just guidelines. It's not regulations -it's not law or anything. It's just guidelines.
 - Q. To be clear, I did hear you tell us about that earlier. This is my way of basically saying, do you recall anything else that was said, and you've already told me that you don't recall anything else that was said. But I'm asking it this way: Do you recall anything else that was said that you consider to be discriminatory or backwards or not part of the 21st century?
 - A. I would say, I can't remember at this time.
 - Q. Now, is that the whole list of conversations that you had with administrators that you can remember?
 - A. About this case or other --
 - Q. Well, you told me about steroids --
- A. Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. -- and that didn't have anything to do with this case.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. So is that the whole list total?
 - A. I would say -- I would say, yes, sir.
 - Q. You may have bumped into one at a basketball game or something, but one's of consequence; is that fair?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you did set the stage for us regarding why you went to the administration by referring to about eight or 10

1 people whom you had talked to previously. 2 Α. Yes. 3 So now I want to go through those. And let's start 0. 4 with Mr. Jerry George Dunn, who was a former school board 5 member at the time you talked to him? 6 Α. No. No. He was a former board member and president 7 of the board. 8 Ο. So he was a board member at the time you talked to 9 him? 10 No. Α. 11 Because I had said --Q. 12 Α. He was former. He was a former member and president 13 of Crawford Central School District. 14 Q. At the time you talked to him was he -- in other 15 words, is he former now --16 Α. No. 17 0. -- and he was current then? 18 No. He's my next-door neighbor. 19 0. When you were talking to him, was he a board member 20 or not at that time? 21 Α. No. 22 He was a former at that time? Ο. 23 Α. Right. 24 Q. And he's a former right now? 25 Α. Right.

1 He's your next-door neighbor you said. So is it 2 fair to say you had numerous conversations with him? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Can you approximate the number? When I say 5 "conversations," at this point I mean conversations about 6 minority hiring or the treatment of minorities by the Crawford Central School District. 8 Several. I'd say several. Α. 9 More than 10? If you can. If you can't, you can't. 0. 10 I would say more than 10. Α. 11 Do you recall him ever saying anything that you 0. 12 considered to show a discriminatory view of minority 13 employment on his part? We -- we -- we discussed the Dickson case several 74 15 times because he was a -- he was on the board at that time. 16 And you said that when I had asked the question did 17 he ever say anything that showed discriminatory intent on his 18 part. So is that your way of telling me that when he was 19 talking about the Dickson case he said things that made you 20 think he was discriminatory or --21 Α. I couldn't -- I can't answer that. 22 Well, you knew that when Mr. Wright allegedly said 23 what he said -- you knew that that was discriminatory and not

part of the 21st century, right?

Yes, sir.

Α.

24

So when I use those terms, you know what I'm talking 1 Ο. 2 about? 3 Yes, sir, I do. Α. 4 0. I mean, if somebody were to refer to an African 5 American with the N word, for example, or otherwise show bias --6 Α. Yes, sir. Do you recall any specific comments that showed that 8 9 kind of bias made by Jerry George Dunn in your conversations 10 with him? 11 Ά. I can't recall. What about these other folks, and I'll just sort of 12 Ο. 13 run through the list quickly, and if you want, I'll rename 14 them, but it's your list. Sam Byrd, Lee McFearon, Elton 15 Scales, Reverend Stanley Smith, Reverend Bernadette Newsome, 16 Marvin --17 Α. Burnett. Reverend Marvin Burnett. 18 Reverend Marvin Burnett? Ο. 19 Α. Yes. 20 The secretary of education, Walter Moody, Attorney 21 Stallard, and your brother. Did any of these folks ever say 22 anything in your conversation with them that you considered 23 to be showing their bias against racial minorities or the 24 employment of them?

25

Α.

Yes.

1 0. Who?

A. Mr. McFearon.

- Q. And what race is he?
- A. African American.
- Q. What did he say that showed the bias?
- A. Well, I only talked to Mr. McFearon one time. It was at a -- I took him out to dinner at Perkins in Meadville, and Sam Byrd was with us at that time. At that time that -- he was working for Crawford Central School District. He's no longer employed there.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And he said that Mr. Heller and him went to school fairs at universities, I guess like at Edinboro and around I don't know, to recruit minority hiring, and it was just a joke of, you know, they they'd go, but they wasn't gonna really hire them. You know, they just did that to for a front.
 - Q. Lee told you it was a front?
- A. Yeah. He said, they wasn't gonna hire them. The record shows that they don't hire.
- Q. Did that strike you as odd that since he was an African American that he would advise against hiring them?
 - A. No. He wasn't biased against hiring them.
- Q. Okay.
- 25 A. The School District was.

1 MR. NICHOLS: Did you understand his question? 2 I think he misunderstood your question. 3 MR. KUHAR: I think so, too. That's why I'm trying 4 to clarify that. 5 So a long way back I had asked whether any of these Ο. 6 people had said things that showed a bias. 7 Α. Oh, no. 8 It's okay, because I was going to ask this guestion Ο. 9 next anyway. But first I wanted you to tell me whether any 10 of those people had made statements that made you think they 11 were biased themselves. 12 Α. No. No. The only person that's ever made that 13 statement was Mr. Wright. 14 Ο. The one you already told us about. 15 Α. And I was very upset that Mr. Heller agreed. 16 I understand. Okay. That's it, though --0. 17 Mr. Dolecki never did, but Mr. Heller did. Α. 18 As far as people who said things that made it appear 19 to you that they themselves were biased, that's it in terms 20 of the people you've mentioned so far? 21 Α. Yes. 22 So then, when Mr. McFearon was talking about going 23 to job fairs and the like, with Sam Byrd present at Perkins, 24 he was suggesting that the District wouldn't hire them? 25 Α. Right.

- Q. Not that he personally didn't want to hire them?
- 2 A. No. No.

1

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- O. I think we understand each other.
- A. That it was just a show.
- Q. Now, I do want to ask you whether there were any others. Did any of these other people say things that suggested that the District was biased?
 - A. Yes. Mrs. Dickson.
 - Q. What did she say?
- A. I met with her at Edinboro University, and she said that -- Bernie, you just got to understand, new people but the same old ideas. You know, they're -- you know, about minority hiring. And some other things -- we talked for probably a half an hour or so, and I can't actually recall everything she -- comments that she made about because she -- her case and that there in Federal Court.
 - Q. So you can't recall any other comments?
- A. Well, basically the School District had the same -- same feelings about hiring minorities or promoting minorities as they did back in her Federal case in '83 or '85. I think it ran from 1983 to 1985.
 - Q. That's what she was telling you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Others on the list who --
- 25 A. Who --

Again, I have to finish the question so this all 1 2 makes sense, even though you probably can guess what I'm going to ask. Other people on this list that who've 3 4 attributed to the District a discriminatory mind-set? 5 Yes. All of them. Α. 6 Ο. Tell me what they said. 7 Α. Start at the top. You talked to me about Mr. Jerry George Dunn; 8 9 although, not really. Answer the question with respect to 10 Jerry George Dunn. 11 Jerry was more or less the nepotism program that 12 they have. It's not how qualified you are, it's who you know 13 and who you don't know. 14 Doesn't that adversely impact both Caucasian and 0. 15 non-Caucasian people? 16 I would say that's right. Α. 17 Q. But Jerry talked about their nepotism? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Did he say, and they don't like racial minorities or 20 anything that specific? 21 Α. No. 22 MR. NICHOLS: Wait just a minute. Did you 23 understand his question prior to that as to the 24 nepotism policy? Did you say, did they have --25 nepotism existed here, that it adversely affects

both Caucasian and minority alike? Did you 1 2 understand his question? You said, yes. Did you understand the -- that was the question; wasn't it, 3 4 Mr. Kuhar? 5 MR. KUHAR: The question, I don't remember it 6 exactly -- whether you got it exactly right. At 7 the beginning, though, I did ask him -- I instructed him, if he doesn't understand the 8 question, he should tell me that. 9 10 MR. NICHOLS: That's what I was try to get -- I 11 want him to be responsible -- to be as accurate as 12 possible. I don't think he understood your 13 question. 7.4 MR. KUHAR: I think he did. 15 MR. NICHOLS: Bernie, do you understand his 16 question? 17 MR. KUHAR: If you want to recant any prior --MR. NICHOLS: Do you want to rephrase the question. 18 Could you rephrase that nepotism -- the nepotism 19 Α. 20 question. 21 I was asking whether you thought that nepotism in 22 favor of relatives would adversely affect both Caucasians who 23 had no relatives in the District as well as racial minorities 24 who had no relatives in the District. Wouldn't they both be 25 affected?

1	MR. NICHOLS: Well, wait, just before you answer
2	that
3	MR. KUHAR: Wait a minute. You're not allowed to
4	do that.
5	MR. NICHOLS: You mean
6	MR. KUHAR: You can't give him the answer.
7	MR. NICHOLS: I'm his counsel. I'm his counsel.
8	MR. KUHAR: After I finish the question
9	MR. NICHOLS: All right. Well, finish your
10	question, and then, before he answers
11	MR. KUHAR: No.
12	MR. NICHOLS: Well, he wants to consult with me.
13	MR. KUHAR: He's not allowed.
14	MR. NICHOLS: What do you mean he's not allowed?
15	MR. KUHAR: You can't confer with him after a
16	question but before he gives an answer.
17	MR. NICHOLS: I can confer with him, Mr. Kuhar.
18	MR. KUHAR: I object.
19	MR. NICHOLS: This is attorney/client you can
20	note that, but this is attorney/client privilege
21	here you're dealing with.
22	MR. KUHAR: Is the record going to reflect that the
23	witness was not allowed to answer after my question
24	until he talked to his lawyer? I can't physically
25	stop you from doing it.

1 MR. NICHOLS: Let the record reflect that Mr. Kuhar 2 posed the question to my client, my client did not 3 understand, and Mr. Kuhar was requested to rephrase 4 the question, and to assure that my client 5 understood. I wanted to consult with him -- consult 6 with him prior to answering. That's what the 7 record should reflect. MR. MCEWEN: I would just like the record to 9 reflect that, from my perspective, perhaps counsel believed that his client did not understand the 10 11 question, but his client appeared to me to 12 perfectly understand the question and honestly 13 answered the question and did not request any 14 assistance from his counsel. 15 MR. NICHOLS: Well, first of all, you let the 16 record reflect that this is attorney/client 17 privilege, and I -- one, I don't think that 18 Mr. McEwen has any standing to speak on this issue. 19 MR. KUHAR: He clearly does. 20 MR. NICHOLS: I don't think he has any standing to 21 speak. 22 MR. MCEWEN: I'm a representative of a Defendant in 23 this lawsuit, we're here at a deposition --24 MR. NICHOLS: But you are dealing with 25 attorney/client privilege here.

MR. MCEWEN: This is not attorney/client privilege. 1 2 If you want --3 (Proceedings interrupted by reporter.) 4 MR. NICHOLS: Are you saying I'm not his counsel? 5 MR. MCEWEN: No. I'm saying that the issue of an 6 answer to a question at a deposition is not a 7 matter of attorney/client privilege. If you feel 8 that your client is not sufficiently prepared for 9 the deposition, then you can ask for a recess and 10 go speak with him, but it is completely improper, 11 in my opinion, for you to continually interrupt the 12 questioning to essentially coach your witness. 13 MR. NICHOLS: The term you use, "coach," is 14 unfortunately one that's not accurate by any 15 measure. 16 MR. MCEWEN: That's how it appears to me. 17 MR. NICHOLS: I feel as if you are inappropriately 18 interfering with attorney/client privilege here, 19 first of all, and I don't know why you insist on 20 that. 21 Second of all, Mr. Kuhar, I would like to note, 22 this is -- I am his counsel here. The question 23 that you posed here, you asked him to offer an 24 opinion on a very important question, and the 25 question is, you are asking -- let's be clear about

what you're asking him. You're asking him on this 1 issue which we have raised, will be raising, and 2 3 it's coming to the fold, dealing with the application of the antinepotism policy. And you're 4 5 asking him his opinion, does the nepotism, as has 6 been applied or to the extent exists in the hiring 7 of minorities at Crawford Central School District, impact adversely minorities and Caucasians the same 8 9 likewise -- the same extent, or, by implication, 10 does it adversely impact minorities more than it 11 does Caucasians. That's the question. He -- and I 12 think when he -- when he answered -- I want to make 13 sure his answer, that he understands, one, what 14 he's responding to --15 MR. MCEWEN: I object. That's not your role here. 16 MR. NICHOLS: What he's responding to. What he's 17 responding to. 18 So, Bernie, before you answer, do you 19 understand the question? 20 THE WITNESS: No. 21 MR. MCEWEN: I want to object. 22 THE WITNESS: No. 23 MR. MCEWEN: I object. I would like to raise my 24 objection before we proceed. 25 MR. NICHOLS: Well, you noted it for the record.

1	We heard it several times. You just said it.
2	MR. MCEWEN: No. I have a new objection. Sir,
3	this is improper. If you have an objection to the
4	form of Mr. Kuhar's question, state objection to
5	the form of the question, and we move on. We don't
6	need to speechify
7	MR. NICHOLS: No. No
8	MR. MCEWEN: and make your case in the record.
9	MR. NICHOLS: You are speechifying, sir, let's not
10	get cute.
11	Now, do you understand the question?
12	THE WITNESS: I understand it better now.
13	MR. NICHOLS: Please don't interfere with
14	attorney/client privilege.
15	THE WITNESS: I understand it now.
16	MR. MCEWEN: I'm not interfering with
17	attorney/client privilege.
18	MR. NICHOLS: Please. I don't know what your
19	problem is.
20	MR. KUHAR: Mr. Nichols, his problem is, your
21	conduct is getting in the way of our deposition of
22	this deponent.
23	MR. NICHOLS: No. I'm not going to allow you to
24	mislead him and to bamboozle
25	MR. MCEWEN: No one is misleading.

```
1
               THE WITNESS: I was actually misleaded (sic).
2
               MR. NICHOLS: Yeah. Okav.
3
               THE WITNESS: I was actually misleaded (sic) on
4
               that question.
5
               That wasn't my -- you heard Mr. -- did you hear
          Ο.
6
      Mr. Nichols clarify what my question was?
7
               He did clarify it.
          Α.
               MR. NICHOLS: Why do you try to --
9
          Α.
               He clarified --
10
               MR. NICHOLS: -- shut me down when I clarify?
11
               (Proceedings interrupted by reporter.)
12
          Α.
              He did clarify it.
13
               Let me clarify something. That wasn't my question.
          0.
14
      What Mr. Nichols told you was my question --
15
          Α.
               No. No.
16
               -- wasn't my question.
          0.
17
          Α.
               No. No.
18
               I get to decide what my questions are.
          Ο.
19
          Α.
               Okay. Okay.
20
          Ο.
               So I don't need you to answer Mr. Nichols' question.
21
          Α.
               No. No. But I -- what --
22
               MR. NICHOLS: Don't insult his intelligence,
23
               please, counsel.
24
          Α.
               I can't -- your question -- could you restate your
25
      question to me on that so I can clarify my answer.
```

- Q. My question was: If a school district has a policy of preferring relatives to nonrelatives, wouldn't that affect both minorities who have no relatives in a district and Caucasians who have no relatives in a district? I didn't ask you if it would have the same impact.
 - A. Only thing I can speak for is my wife.
 - Q. Fine.

Я

- A. Okay. Her situation. Because I have never, you know, talked to other people about the nepotism program. The nepotism program that they have in effect now. And, I believe, is, that since my wife has no relatives, you know, because she's a minority, that the nepotism program plays a big part in her not getting a full-time job.
- Q. Which of the other people on the list have attributed to the Crawford Central School District a discriminatory mind-set?
 - A. I don't understand the question quite clearly.
- Q. Thank you for telling us that. I will try to rephrase it. You had started going through this list of people and told us that, for example, Lee McFearon had attributed a mind-set on the part of the district not to hire minorities, okay, and then you had started talking about Jerry George Dunn and how the nepotism policy started to impact your wife, you believe --
 - A. No -- yeah. He had made that -- he made the comment

because my sister-in-law is employed by the School 1 2 District --3 0. Your sister-in-law? 4 Α. Yes. 5 As opposed to his? Ο. 6 Yeah. And he had -- what? Α. 7 0. His or your sister-in-law? 8 My sister-in-law. Α. 9 Q. Okay. Fine. And now, the question I asked a minute 10 ago that you asked me to clarify was, which of these other 11 people have attributed a discriminatory mind-set to the 12 School District? And basically I'm just wanting to go 13 through the list and have you tell me about each of them. 14 А. Mr. Byrd. 15 Q. Go ahead. 16 That minorities, you know, for under -- you know, he 17 feels that minorities -- he has stated to me that he feels 18 minorities, since they don't have relatives on the -- in the 19 teaching or administration program, that they can't -- they 20 don't have a foot up on getting hired. 21 Q. That's Byrd? 22 That's Mr. Byrd, right. Α. 23 0. Okay. Go ahead. 24 And McFearon, you know, his hiring -- he felt that

the reason he was hired as a minority was because of his --

25

his relatives and relationship being teachers at the School 1 2 District and being part of the administration program. 3 So he felt -- I'm sorry. Ο. 4 Α. That's how he got hired. 5 He told you that he felt he was not hired based upon Ο. 6 his merit, but rather, because of his relatives? Α. Yes, sir. 8 Ο. Go ahead down the list. Mrs. Dickson, you know, we talked, you know, and 9 Α. 10 she -- just about minorities, you know, that you know how --11 You already told us about --Ο. 12 A. Yeah. Yeah. 13 -- different times, different people, same mind-set. 0. 14 Α. But you're talking about the nepotism program now? 15 0. No. Not directly. I had asked the question, which 16 of these people had attributed to the District a 17 discriminatory mind-set --18 Well, the --Α. 19 Well, let me finish now because there's a lot of 20 concern that you have the question clearly, and I'm 21 concerned, too. And you had said, well, Jerry George Dunn 22 didn't talk directly about discriminatory animus, but he 23 talked about the nepotism policy, which hurts my wife because 24 she doesn't know anybody, fair?

25

Right.

Α.

- Q. And then you said what Ms. Dickson said, what Sam Byrd said. So now I'm having you go down the line --
- A. Reverend Smith, he said he brought several applicants in from the -- Erie County into the School District that was never -- never even got an interview. Let alone be hired for a substitute or a full-time position.

Reverend Burnett, he stated the same situation. I knew Mr. Burnett for 50 years probably. And he said that -- he used an -- a gentleman, Mr. Brown -- Eric Brown tried to get employment and he was denied. Whether it's -- and Reverend Newsome, same situation there. She said there's been applicants that -- with no success through Crawford Central School District.

And Mr. Moody, he's an African American in Meadville, which he said he had relatives that tried to get in and had no success. But one of them moved to Florida and become teacher of the year, but -- she wasn't qualified to teach in Crawford central, but yet, she become teacher of the year in Florida.

- Q. Do you remember who that was?
- A. I can't recall her name.
- Q. Mr. Moody would know?
- A. I'm sure he would know.
- Q. Okay.

A. That's about all the people I talked to.

1 MR. KUHAR: I need one minute with my clients in 2 the hall. 3 (Pause in the proceedings.) 4 0. I had you go through that list of names that you had 5 given us, and you attributed to those people what they had said, and, in some cases, the discriminatory view that they 6 7 had attributed to the District, right? You recall doing 8 that? 9 Α. Right. 10 Any others sitting here? Q. It was some afterwards, but not before -- not 11 Α. before the meeting and that there. 12 13 What do you mean "some afterwards"? Ο. 14 Well, there was other people, you know, that's --15 that's -- that showed their -- you know, well --16 I'll withdraw that question. So you don't have to 17 worry about finishing the answer. Let me ask it this way, 18 and it's designed to possibly save time and possibly be easy 19 to answer: Did any other people --20 I'm hard of hearing. Ą. 21 Q. I apologize. 22 That's okay. Α. 23 I'll speak more loudly. Did any other people at any Q. 24 time tell you that the District, administration, or the 25 school directors had actually said anything which showed a

1 discriminatory intent? 2 I don't think anybody else has ever -- not that I 3 recall right now. MR. KUHAR: Those are all the questions I have. 5 Dick McEwen may have questions for you. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MCEWEN: 9 10 Really, my question is along the same lines. I 11 represent the Crawford Central Education Association, the 12 teacher's union. And, really, you've spoken about a number 13 of individuals you spoke to. Did any of the individuals you 14 talked to ever tell you that the teacher's union, or any of 15 its officers, was biased or had expressed a discriminatory 16 view against the hiring of minority applicants at Crawford 17 Central School District? 18 Α. Not to my knowledge, no. 19 Did any other individual ever indicate that to you? Ο. 20 What do you mean by "individual"? Α. 21 MR. MCEWEN: I'll withdraw that. That's all I 22 have. Thanks. 23 MR. KUHAR: Mr. Nichols? 24 MR. NICHOLS: Just one moment. 25 (Discussion held off the record.)

1	MR. NICHOLS: No. No further questions.
2	MR. KUHAR: Then I think we've concluded this
3	deposition. We appreciate your coming in here, and
4	your civility.
5	Do you want to advise him regarding his right
6	to review the transcript?
7	MR. NICHOLS: We would like to have the opportunity
8	to review the transcript.
9	
10	(Deposition concluded at 11:31 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:04-cv-002	04-SJIVI Document of A		e (49 M: 05 Bernadette
		[1] 39:4	[3] 20:12 20:13 30:15
'05	Accurate	Anyway [2] 26:16 32:9	Bernard
[1] 10:3 '61	(2) 35:11 38:14 Actual	Apologize	[3] 1:15 3:3 5:10
[1] 6:16	[2] 13:13 22:17	[1] 46:21	Bernie
183	Addressed	Appear	[4] 18:21 33:11 35:15 39:18
[1] 33:20	[3] 20:24 22:22 22:23	[2] 14:25 32:18	Best
185	Administration	Appeared	[2] 13:25 16:4
[1] 33:20	[12] 11:3 16:8 18:17 19:12	[1] 37:11	Better
0	19:15 22:3 22:6 23:23 27:	Applicants	(1) 40:12 Between
U	25 43:19 44:2 46:24 Administrators	[3] 45:4 45:12 47:16	[2] 18:19 19:8
04-264	[11] 7:10 7:22 9:5 9:7 9:7	Application	Bias
[1] 1:4	15:17 17:11 21:16 25:1 26:	[3] 11:16 11:19 39:4	[5] 30:6 30:9 30:23 31:5
1	19 27:12	Applied [1] 39:6	32:6
<u> </u>	Advance	Appreciate	Biased
1	[1] 17:7	(1) 48:3	[5] 31:23 32:11 32:19 33:7
[1] 23:22	Adversely [5] 34:14 34:25 35:22 39:8	Appropriate	47:15 Biα
10	[5] 34:14 34:25 35:22 39:8 39:10	[1] 5:2	[1] 42:13
[4] 5:18 27:25 29:9 29:10	Advise	Approximate	Bit
10:22	[2] 31:22 48:5	[1] 29:4	[1] 11:22
(1) 1:18 11	Advised	Armidia	Black
11 [1] 6:22	[1] 24:12	[2] 20:3 20:4	[4] 1:16 1:25 18:22 19:10
11:31	Affect	Arrived	Board
[1] 46:10	[2] 35:22 42:2	[1] 11:6	[16] 1:5 2:6 4:11 7:15 7:
12	Affected	Aside	20 9:1 9:22 19:9 20:5 25:
[1] 6:22	[1] 35:25	[2] 25:7 25:8	11 28:4 28:6 28:7 28:8 28: 19 29:15
120	Affects	Assign	Books
[2] 1:19 2:9	(1) 34:25 Affirmation	[1] 6:8	[1] 16:21
15	[1] 26:22	Assistance [1] 37:14	Box
[1] 22;7	African	Assistant	[1] 2:4
1585	[5] 21:7 30:4 31:4 31:22	[2] 1:6 2:7	Branch
[1] 2:4	45:14	Association	[1] 5:23
16412	Afterwards	[5] 1:10 2:10 4:12 14:18	Branches
(1) 2:12 16501	[2] 46:11 46:13	47:11	[1] 5:21
[2] 1:20 2:9	Agenda	Assumption	Briefly [1] 6:10
16507	[2] 17:5 17:7	[2] 8:8 8:12	Brother
[1] 2:5	Ago	Assure	1161 7:5 7:6 7:8 7:22 9:7
1954	[5] 10:4 10:16 15:21 15:25 43:10	[1] 37:4	12:7 12:12 12:15 15:24 16:
[1] 16:21	Agree	Attorney [7] 4:21 4:22 8:19 21:7 21:	14 16:15 17:21 21:19 22:25
1983	[1] 11:10	8 21:9 30:20	25:24 30:21
[1] 33:21	Agreed	Attorney/client	Brother-in-law
1985	[3] 23:7 23:10 32:15	[10] 21:10 36:19 36:20 37:	
[1] 33:21	Ahead	16 37:25 38:1 38:7 38:18	Brought [1] 45:3
2	[8] 17:2 17:4 19:6 24:15	40:14 40:17	Brown
	25:15 43:15 43:23 44:8	Attorneys	[11] 16:21 16:21 18:22 19:
2002	Alike	[1] 4:17	10 21:12 24:3 24:4 24:8 24:
[1] 13:7	[1] 35:1 Alleged	Attributed	12 45:9 45:9
2003	[1] 26:22	[7] 34:4 42:15 42:21 43:11 44:16 46:5 46:7	Brushed
[1] 12:5	Allegedly	August	[2] 25:7 25:7
2005	[1] 29:22	[1] 1:17	Building [2] 11:3 16:9
[1] 1:18 20th	Allow		Bumped
20tn [1] 26:14	[1] 40:23	В	[1] 27:21
21st	Allowed	Background	Burnett
[4] 26:14 26:20 27:9 29:24	[4] 36:3 36:13 36:14 36:23	[2] 6:11 21:22	[7] 20:18 20:18 30:17 30:
	Alone	Backwards	17 30:18 45:7 45:8
3	(1) 45:6	[1] 27:8	Business
30	Ambiguous [2] 7:24 8:6	Badger	[2] 5:13 5:14
[1] 1:17		[3] 13:1 13:2 14:9	Byrd (20.3) 21.2 22.23
	Allerica [1] 10:22	Badgering	[8] 20:5 30:14 31:8 32:23 43:14 43:21 43:22 45:2
4	American	[1] 15:13 Bamboozle	
4250	[5] 21:7 30:5 31:4 31:22	Bamboozie [1] 40:24	С
[1] 2:12	45:14	Baptist	
5	Animus	[1] 20:11	Caleb [1] 2:4
	111 44:22	Based	
50	Answer	[1] 44:5	(1) 15:19
[1] 45:B	[18] 4:18 4:22 8:5 13:5 13: 6 29:21 34:9 36:1 36:6 36:	Basic	Case
6	16 36:23 38:6 39:13 39:18	[1] 4:15	[11] 1:4 6:19 16:21 21:12
U	41:20 41:25 46:17 46:19	Basketball	27:13 27:17 29:14 29:19 33:
6N	Answered	[1] 27:21	16 33:20 40:8
	[2] 37:13 39:12	Become	Cases
[1] 2:12			[1] 46:6
	Answering	[3] 10:8 45:17 45:18	и
7	Answering [2] 14:1 37:6	Beginning	Caucasian
	Answering	N	и

[4] 35: ½၀၀၄ ၆၀၀၂၂ ၄၈: VOCP+30419125 28: 29 401919111 00 ITHEOTOPICAZONZOWO 40 F AU e 50 01 55 21 48-3 48:10 Confer During Central [29] 1:5 1:5 1:9 2:6 2:6 2: 10 4:9 4:10 4:12 7:9 7:21 Depositions 131 12:19 22:19 22:25 [2] 36:15 36:17 [1] 8:14 Confusing Duties 9:6 11:3 11:17 12:1 16:8 18:4 18:14 19:2 21:7 28:13 Describe [1] 4:21 111 5:19 Congratulations [11 25:6 29:7 31:9 39:7 42:15 45:13 45:18 47:11 47:17 E Described 111 6:25 [2] 6:10 26:21 Consequence Century Easy [5] 26:14 26:14 26:21 27:9 29:24 Designed [1] 27:22 [11 46:18 Consider [1] 46:18 Edinboro Detail [1] 27:8 Certainly [4] 2:12 20:9 31:13 33:10 Considered 111 22:20 [1] 4:23 Education Details Challenge [2] 29:12 30:22 [8] 1:9 2:10 4:9 4:12 20: 19 20:22 30:20 47:11 [1] 13:9 Consult [1] 25:5 Dick [3] 36:12 37:5 37:5 Educational Chance [1] 47:5 Continually [1] 23:21 111 6:11 Dickson [1] 38:11 Effect Changes Continue [7] 20:2 20:4 29:14 29:19 121 25:19 25:19 [1] 42:10 33:8 44:9 45:1 111 21:18 Charles Efforts Different Conversation [2] 1:6 2:6 121 10:8 11:23 (3) 12:10 44:13 44:13 (111 9:22 9:23 10:7 11:2 Check Eight Dinner 11:19 17:19 21:5 22:5 22: [1] 27:25 111 21:25 17 25:22 30:22 [3] 31.7 Checked Either Direct Conversations [2] 19:21 19:23 [1] 26:19 [7] 12:10 21:20 27:11 29:2 [2] 3:4 4:4 Child Elementary 29:5 29:5 30:9 Directly [1] 9:20 [1] 6:23 Counsel [3] 20:22 44:15 44:22 Children Elton [13] 7:23 8:20 12:22 14:22 Director [1] 6:25 15:5 26:5 36:7 36:7 37:9 37:14 38:4 38:22 41:23 121 20:8 30:14 [3] 16:12 20:6 26:13 Church Employed Directors [2] 20:11 20:15 Counsel's [6] 5:7 5:11 10:8 23:23 31: [2] 18:11 46:25 10 43-1 Cited [1] 14:14 Discriminatory County Employment [1] 23:13 [13] 27:8 29:12 29:17 29: [9] 10:8 11:23 11:23 12:5 13:8 13:11 29:13 30:24 45: Civility [3] 5:23 5:25 45:4 20 29:23 34:4 42:16 43:11 [1] 48:4 Couple 44:17 44:22 46:6 47:1 47:15 10 Civilized [2] 11:1 18:13 Discuss End [1] 17:22 Court [3] 16:11 16:16 18:18 [1] 19:3 Civilly [4] 1:1 5:4 25:21 33:16 Discussed Entitled Courteously [1] 15:18 [5] 11:22 12:6 16:20 22:19 [2] 15:17 22:14 Clarified 111 15:14 29:14 Eric [1] 41:9 Courtesy Discussion 111 45:9 [5] 8:22 14:7 18:18 18:25 Clarify [11 13:3 Erie [10] 7:23 24:12 32:4 41:6 41:7 41:10 41:12 41:13 41: Crawford [5] 1:4 1:20 2:5 2:9 45:4 Dispatcher [33] 1:5 1:5 1:9 2:6 2:6 2: 25 43:10 Esquire 10 4:9 4:10 4:12 5:23 5:25 [1] 6:5 7:9 7:21 9:6 11:3 11:17 11: Clear [3] 2:4 2:8 2:11 Disrupt 25 16:8 18:4 18:14 19:2 21: 7 22:9 23:21 28:13 29:7 31: 9 39:7 42:15 45:12 45:18 [4] 8:4 12:9 27:3 38:25 [2] 22:24 24:10 Essentially Clearly Disruptive [1] 38:12 [4] 18:2 37:19 42:17 44:20 [1] 14:15 Evening 47:11 47:16 Client Distinguish [1] 19:25 Cross-Examination [6] 37:2 37:2 37:4 37:10 [1] 9:1 Everyday [2] 3:5 47:7 37:11 38:8 Distinguished [1] 5:1 Current Clients [1] 7:19 Exactly [1] 28:17 [2] 13:4 46:1 District [2] 35:6 35:6 Cute Coach [42] 1:1 1:1 1:5 2:6 4:10 Examination [1] 40:10 [2] 38:12 38:13 7:9 9:6 10:9 11:17 11:24 [2] 3:4 4:4 12:1 13:11 14:17 18:14 23: Cochranton Examine D 22 24:18 25:12 28:13 29:7 [2] 9:19 9:20 [1] 26:4 31:9 31:25 32:24 33:7 33: 18 34:4 35:23 35:24 39:7 Date Cocurrent Example [1] 6:14 [1] 12:7 42:1 42:3 42:4 42:15 42:21 [2] 30:5 42:20 Dealing 43:2 43:12 44:2 44:16 45:5 45:13 46:7 46:24 47:17 Coming Existed [3] 36:21 37:24 39:3 [2] 39:3 48:3 111 34:25 Decide Dolecki Commencing Exists 111 1:18 [1] 41:18 [13] 1:6 2:6 10:17 10:23 111 39:6 12:8 12:11 12:15 13:14 13: 15 15:24 19:9 22:23 32:17 Decision Comment Expressed LII 24:12 [3] 23:4 26:13 42:25 [1] 47:15 Dolecki's Defendant Comments Extend [1] 22:10 [2] 1:10 37:22 [3] 30:8 33:15 33:17 F11 13:3 Donald Defendants Commonwealth [2] 9:15 9:16 Extent [3] 1:7 4:11 14:17 [1] 1:17 [2] 39:6 39:9 Door Deferring Company [1] 22:13 [1] 8:9 F [1] 5:20 Down Denied Completely [7] 7:20 7:20 8:24 9:4 41: Face [3] 17:23 17:25 45:10 [1] 38:10 10 44:8 45:2 [4] 9:5 9:5 10:18 10:18 Deponent Concern DrFace-to-face [4] 8:5 15:12 15:15 40:22 [1] 44:20 [1] 20:8 [2] 9:5 10:18 Depose Concerned Duly Fact [1] 13:3 [2] 26:10 44:21 [1] 4:2 [4] 17:8 18:22 19:11 23:8 Deposed Concluded Dunn Facts [1] 4:13 [2] 48:2 48:10 [10] 20:5 20:5 25:8 25:13 [1] 14:10 Deposition Conduct 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 42:23 Fair [11] 1:15 4:13 4:15 14:16

airs airs airs 2] 31:13 32:23 ar 2] 32:18 32:20 Cavor 1] 35:22 Cederal 5] 16:22 24:17 25:20 33: 6 33:20 Ceelings 1] 33:19 Celt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Cerguson 1] 1:26 Cew Clipte	Generally Ocument Oo [1] 16:17 Gentleman [1] 45:9 George [10] 16:12 18:12 20:5 25: 13 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 42: 23 44:21 Given [1] 46:5 Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	[2] 22:7 33:14 Hurts [1] 44:23 I Idea [1] 15:12 Ideas [1] 33:12 III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	### City City City City City City City City
2] 31:13 32:23 2ar 2] 32:18 32:20 2avor 1] 35:22 2ederal 5] 16:22 24:17 25:20 33: 6 33:20 2eelings 1] 33:19 2elt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 2erguson 1] 1:26 2ew 1] 15:25 2igure 1] 7:17	Gentleman [1] 45:9 George [10] 16:12 18:12 20:5 25: 13 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 42: 23 44:21 Given [1] 46:5 Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	Hurts [1] 44:23 I Idea [1] 15:12 Ideas [1] 33:12 III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	Jehn (i) 30:11 Joke (i) 3::15 K Kenses (i) 2::8 Kind
Par 2] 32:18 32:20 Pavor 1] 35:22 Pederal 5] 16:22 24:17 25:20 33: 6 33:20 Peelings 1] 33:19 Pelt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Perguson 1] 1:26 Pew [1] 15:25 Pigure [1] 7:17	[1] 45:9 George [10] 16:12 18:12 20:5 25: 13 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 42: 23 44:21 Given [1] 46:5 Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	[1] 44:23 I Idea [1] 15:12 Ideas [1] 33:12 III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	(1) 30 H Joke (1) 3±5 K Karsas (1) 2±8 Kind
2] 32:18 32:20 Pavor 1] 35:22 Pederal 5] 16:22 24:17 25:20 33: 6 33:20 Peelings 1] 33:19 Pelt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Perguson 1] 1:26 Pew [1] 15:25 Pigure [1] 7:17	George [10] 16:12 18:12 20:5 25: 13 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 42: 23 44:21 Given [1] 46:5 Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	I Idea [1] 15:12 Ideas [1] 33:12 III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	Like [1] 3::5 K Kenses [1] 2::8 Kind
1] 35:22 Pederal 5] 16:22 24:17 25:20 33: 6 33:20 Peelings 1] 33:19 Pelt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Perguson 1] 1:26 Pew [1] 15:25 Pigure [1] 7:17	[10] 16:12 18:12 20:5 25: 13 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 42: 23 44:21 Given [1] 46:5 Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	Idea [1] 15:12 Ideas [1] 33:12 III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	[1] 3::15 K Kenses [1] 2::8 Kind
Tederal 5] 16:22 24:17 25:20 33: 6 33:20 Teelings 1] 33:19 Telt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Terguson 1] 1:26 Tew [1] 15:25 Tigure [1] 7:17	i3 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 42: 23 44:21 Given [i] 46:5 Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	[1] 15:12 Ideas [1] 33:12 III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	K Kansas (1) 2:8 Kind
5] 16:22 24:17 25:20 33: 6 33:20 Peelings 1] 33:19 Pelt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Perguson 1] 1:26 Pew [1] 15:25 Pigure [1] 7:17	Given [1] 46:5 Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	[1] 15:12 Ideas [1] 33:12 III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	Kansas [1] 21:8 Kind
6 33:20 Celings 1) 33:19 Celt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Cerguson 1) 1:26 Cew [1] 15:25 Cigure [1] 7:17	[1] 46:5 Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	Ideas [1] 33:12 III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	[1] 21:8 Kird
Peelings 1] 33:19 Pelt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Perguson 1] 1:26 Pew 1] 15:25 Pigure 1] 7:17	Gonna [2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	III [2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	[1] 21:8 Kird
1] 33:19 Pelt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Perguson (1) 1:26 Pew 1] 15:25 Pigure (1) 7:17	[2] 31:15 31:19 Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	[2] 1:6 2:7 Impact	Kird
Telt 5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Terguson (1) 1:26 Tew (1) 15:25 Tigure (1) 7:17	Gornall [2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	Impact	F31 5-13 25-7 33-0
5] 19:14 19:14 43:24 44:3 4:5 Verguson (1) 1:26 Vew (1) 15:25 Vigure (1) 7:17	[2] 1:19 2:8 Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1		
4:5 'erguson (1) 1:26 'ew (1) 15:25 'igure (1) 7:17	Governor [4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	lei nalla no o ne ve ve e	Knwieine
Terguson 1) 1:26 Tew 1) 15:25 Tigure 1) 7:17	[4] 20:20 20:24 20:25 21:1	[5] 34:14 39:8 39:10 42:5	[2] 11:20:47:18
1) 1:26 'ew 1) 15:25 'igure 1) 7:17		42:24	Knox
'ew [1] 15:25 'igure [1] 7:17	Graduate	Implication	[2] 1:1928
figure [1] 7 :17	[1] 6:13	[1] 39:9	Kher
1] 7:17	Grammatically	Important	[32] 2.8 4.5 8.2 8.8 8.21
	[1] 4:20	[1] 38:24	12.23 13.25 15.10 35.9 35. 3 35.4 35.5 35.14 35.17 35.
'i nal	Ground	Improper	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
+++ -	[1] 14:23	[3] 4:20 38:10 40:3	5%I7%B%2 <i>T</i> :1
[1] 18:18	Guess	Inappropriate	37:3 37:19 3B:21 4D:2D 4&
Tinances	[2] 31:13 34:2	[1] 26:20	1 47:4 47:23 48:2
[1] 24:17	Guidelines	Inappropriately	Khar's
ine	[7] 24:16 24:17 24:19 24:	[1] 38:17	(1) 40:4 ***********************************
[7] 9:14 9:14 15:23 16:5	21 26:24 27:1 27:2	Inc	Khar
21:24 42:7 43:9	Guys	[1] 1:26 Thelina	[1] 34
Finish	[1] 18:17	Including [1] 14:17	L
[4] 34:1 36:8 36:9 44:19	17	Indicate	
Finishing [1] 46:17	<u>H</u>	[1] 47:19	Iady
?irst	Half	Individual	(2) 19:21 (20.1)
[8] 4:1 9:8 17:20 18:10 22:	[2] 22:7 33:14	[2] 47:19 47:20	Iast
23 32:9 37:15 38:19	Hall	Individuals	[5] 11:1 11:12 18:16 18:24 22:6
Tive	[1] 46:2	[2] 47:13 47:13	Iasteri
[1] 11:13	Haller	Information	(1) 164
Florida	[2] 12:8 19:9	[2] 14:18 14:19	I lay
[2] 45:16 45:19	Hard	Informed	(3) 24:19:24:20:27:2
Fold	[1] 46:20	[2] 24:22 25:17	lasit
(1) 39:3	Harrisburg	Infulated	[1] 37:23
Folks	[1] 20:20	[1] 10:20	Layer
[2] 30:12 30:21	Heads	Insist	[1] 35:24
Follow	[1] 5:1	[2] 14:11 3B:19	Leest
[1] 17:3	Hear	Instructed	ni e:7
Follows	[4] 5:4 18:2 27:3 41:5	[1] 35:8	Ichme
[1] 4:2	Heard	Instructing	[2] 14:24:15:1
Foot	[3] 6:23 40:1 41:5	[1] 14:9	<u>Techning</u>
[1] 43:20	Hearing	Insult	[1] 15:2
Form	[3] 14:15 14:20 46:20	[1] 41:22	Iœ
[3] 8:10 40:4 40:5	Held	Intelligence	M D63143:1842D
Formal	[4] 5:17 8:22 14:7 47:25 Heller	[1] 41:22	Iess
(1) 6:11	[15] 1:6 2:6 12:5 13:16 13:	Intent	[2] 23:22 31:11
Former	23 15:20 17:19 20:7 22:23	[2] 29:17 47:1	letter
[10] 20:4 20:6 25:8 28:4 28:6 28:12 28:12 28:15 28:	23:3 23:7 24:20 31:12 32:	Interfere	M 11.7 11.14 20.20 20.24
20:0 20:12 20:12 20:15 20: 22 28:24	15 32:17	[1] 40:13	Life
Four	Heller's	Interfering	[1] 5:1
[5] 7:3 7:3 9:10 12:3 17:14	[1] 26:21	[3] 15:6 38:18 40:16	Likevise
Fred	Helps	Interrupt	[1] 33:9
[8] 7:6 7:6 16:14 16:15 21:	[1] 18:7	[1] 38:11	line
19 22:25 25:24 26:7	High	Interrupted	[1] 45:2 Times
Front	[4] 6:12 6:13 6:14 9:21 Himself	[2] 38:3 41:11	Lines
[2] 31:17 31:18	HIMSELI [2] 26:8 26:9	Interruptions	(1) 47:10 List
Full		[1] 14:14 Entonion	[13] 21:13 21:18 27:11 27:
(5) 5:9 5:15 18:4 42:13 45: 6	[8] 23:20 24:24 31:16 31:	Interview [1] 45:5	1930.1330.133
Full-time	19 31:20 32:24 33:1 42:21	Involved	£14 £19 £13 418 £4
[4] 5:15 18:4 42:13 45:6	Hired	[1] 8:14	Located
1 x 1 U - 4 U - 4 U - 7 M - 1 L L L H - 1 L L	[7] 24:24 25:9 43:20 43:25	Issue	[1] 5:21
Funding		8	Icok
	44:4 44:5 45:6	- mar - i Ali - i Hit 5 4 7 + i H - 3 3 + 5 3 3 3 + 5 3 3 + 5 3 3 3 + 5 3 3 3 + 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	EI made an
Funding	Hiring	[4] 18:15 37:18 38:5 39:2	- [1] 22:13
Funding [4] 16:22 16:22 16:22 16:24	Hiring [18] 16:16 18:13 18:16 19:	J [4] 18:15 37;18 38:5 39:2	Iarily
Funding [4] 16:22 16:22 16:22 16:24 Fundings [1] 27:1	Hiring [18] 16:16 18:13 18:16 19: 21 19:23 19:25 20:8 25:18	J	THE TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
Funding (4) 16:22 16:22 16:22 16:24 Fundings	Hiring [18] 16:16 18:13 18:16 19: 21 19:23 19:25 20:8 25:18 26:10 29:6 31:14 31:22 31:	J Jerry	Iorily [1] 423
Funding [4] 16:22 16:22 16:22 16:24 Fundings [1] 27:1 G	Hiring [18] 16:16 18:13 18:16 19: 21 19:23 19:25 20:8 25:18	J	Iarily
Funding [4] 16:22 16:22 16:22 16:24 Fundings [1] 27:1 G Gain	Hiring [18] 16:16 18:13 18:16 19: 21 19:23 19:25 20:8 25:18 26:10 29:6 31:14 31:22 31: 23 33:13 33:19 39:6 43:24	J Jerry [11] 20:5 20:5 25:13 28:4	Iorily [1] 423
Funding [4] 16:22 16:22 16:24 Fundings [1] 27:1 G Gain [2] 11:23 14:18	Hiring [18] 16:16 18:13 18:16 19: 21 19:23 19:25 20:8 25:18 26:10 29:6 31:14 31:22 31: 23 33:13 33:19 39:6 43:24 47:16 Hold [1] 6:4	J Jerry [11] 20:5 20:5 25:13 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 34:11 34:	Icrily [1] 4623 M Man [1] 1825
Funding [4] 16:22 16:22 16:22 16:24 Fundings [1] 27:1 G Gain [2] 11:23 14:18 Game	Hiring [18] 16:16 18:13 18:16 19: 21 19:23 19:25 20:8 25:18 26:10 29:6 31:14 31:22 31: 23 33:13 33:19 39:6 43:24 47:16 Hold [1] 6:4 Holdnack	J Jerry [11] 20:5 20:5 25:13 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 34:11 34: 17 42:23 44:21 Job [7] 11:16 18:3 18:4 19:1	Icarily [1] 4623 M Men [1] 1825 Menk
Funding [4] 16:22 16:22 16:24 Fundings [1] 27:1 G Gain [2] 11:23 14:18	Hiring [18] 16:16 18:13 18:16 19: 21 19:23 19:25 20:8 25:18 26:10 29:6 31:14 31:22 31: 23 33:13 33:19 39:6 43:24 47:16 Hold [1] 6:4	J Jerry [11] 20:5 20:5 25:13 28:4 30:9 34:8 34:10 34:11 34: 17 42:23 44:21 Job	Icrily [1] 4623 M Man [1] 1825

Married Case 1.04-cv-002			e340155 9:10 19:11 19:24 20:1 21:13 21:14 21:21 22:
[2] 617 621	[1] 40:25	Note	1 28:1 32:6 32:10 32:18 32:
Marvin	Misspoke	[3] 8:3 36:20 38:21	20 33:6 33:11 34:3 34:15
<u>мавыбылгы</u> в	[1] 13:16	Noted	42:9 42:14 42:20 43:11 44:
Matter	Misunderstood	{1} 39:25 Nothing	13 44:16 45:25 46:5 46:14 46:19 46:23
[3] 411.2021.337	[1] 32:2	[4] 12:24 17:22 17:23 17:25	Percent
Milken [24] 211 812 813 1413	Moment	Number	(5) 23:22 24:4 24:6 24:13
14221425152156376	[1] 47:24 Months	[2] 29:4 47:12	24:13
37.19.37.22.39.1.39.5.39.	[1] 10:13	Numerous	Percentage
163915321323402	Moody	[1] 29:2	[1] 23:21
40840164025475478	[5] 20:25 21:3 30:20 45:14		Perception
4721 MERVED	45:22	0	[1] 25:2
[1] 35	Morning	Object	Perfectly
MR-man	{2} 4:7 4:8	(5) 14:13 36:18 39:15 39:	[1] 37:12
ГЛ 206301431.231.6	Mostly	21 39:23	Perform
22424	[1] 17:19	Objection	[1] 5:19
Maghlin	Move	[8] 7:23 8:25 15:3 15:8 39:	Perhaps
[2] 1.1928	[2] 24:11 40:5	24 40:2 40:3 40:4	[3] 4:16 14:20 37:9
Medville	Moved	Objections	Perkins
(7) 61 62 20 11 20 17 20:	[1] 45:16	[3] 8:3 8:9 14:20	[2] 31:7 32:23 Person
1831.745.15	N	Obligated	
Men	TA.	[1] 4:18	[1] 32:12 Personally
[19] 52537217219: 35103143178721070:	Name	Occurred	Personally [1] 33:1
25103143178221022 24241025325429533:	[3] 5:9 20:23 45:21	[1] 7:18	Perspective
43531451343	Named	Odd	[1] 37:9
Meens	[1] 21:8	[1] 31:21	Phonetic
(I) 1513	Namely	Offer	[1] 20:3
Mesure	[1] 9:12	[1] 38:23	Physically
[1] 31 15	Names	Office {3} 22:11 22:12 22:13	[1] 36:24
Mesting	[1] 46:4	Officers	Place
[32] 75787157307:	Nature	(1) 47:15	[2] 11:2 16:8
2072582492311.411:	[1] 8:2	Offices	Plaintiff
1211212171219132 15301523161164167	Need	[1] 1:18	[3] 1:3 2:3 6:19
1520 18 17 19 8 19 18 19:	[3] 40:6 41:20 46:1	Oil	Plant
1919221231219	Needed	[1] 5:14	[1] 14:23
212251536164612	[2] 19:11 19:15	Old	Plays
Meetings	Neighbor	[1] 33:12	[1] 42:12
[II] 712714715721.9.	[2] 28:18 29:1	One	Point
19394959121515 1815	Nepotism	[26] 7:13 7:18 8:9 10:12	[1] 29:5
Maiber	[15] 24:23 34:11 34:17 34: 24 34:25 35:19 35:19 35:21	10:14 10:17 10:20 12:2 12:	Points
(a 199353363883 :	39:5 42:9 42:10 42:12 42:	4 12:7 12:10 12:11 12:14	[5] 13:20 13:21 13:23 13:
12.39.19	23 44:14 44:23	16:24 17:23 18:11 27:21 31: 6 32:14 37:17 38:14 39:13	24 14:3
Manacy	Never	40:25 45:16 46:1 47:24	Policy [5] 34:24 39:4 42:1 42:23
(A) N1 1212 TE 618 618 619 119 (B)	[5] 19:1 32:17 42:8 45:5	One's	44:23
Mentaged	45:5	[1] 27:22	Posed
[1] 32:30	New	Opinion	[2] 37:2 38:23
Merit	[2] 33:11 40:2	[3] 38:11 38:24 39:5	Position
[]416	Newsome	Opportunity	[3] 6:4 6:10 45:6
Met	[5] 20:12 20:13 20:14 30: 15 45:11	[1] 48:7	Possible
[5] 95124128181133:	Next	Opposed	[4] 15:15 15:18 15:19 35:12
10	(2) 10:14 32:9	(1) 43:5	Possibly
Mdel 51636	Next-door	Order	[2] 46:18 46:18
[2] 1626 Mind	[2] 28:18 29:1	[3] 9:14 10:14 14:19	Potted
71 178 314 42 15 42 21	Nice	Otherwise	[1] 14:23
#14344E	[1] 26:24	[1] 30:5	Preferring
Mind-set	Nichols	P	[1] 42:2
[6] 314 42 16 42 21 48 11	[56] 2:4 7:23 8:6 8:17 8:		Prepared
41 13 41 17	20 12:22 12:25 13:24 14:3	P.O.	[1] 38:8
Minorities	14:6 14:8 14:22 15:1 15:4 15:8 15:13 26:4 26:7 32:1	[1] 2:4	Present
(B) 192220235:	34:22 35:10 35:15 35:18 36:	Paperwork	[7] 7:22 12:11 12:12 12:15 15:24 15:25 32:23
6323319319319 x73273035003	1 36:5 36:7 36:9 36:12 36:	[1] 5:9	Preserving
5337383043 424647484:	14 36:17 36:19 37:1 37:15	Part	[1] 8:10
10	37:20 37:24 38:4 38:13 38:	[8] 25:17 27:8 29:13 29:18	President
Minority	17 39:16 39:25 40:7 40:9 40:13 40:18 40:20 40:23 41:	29:24 42:13 42:21 44:2	[5] 20:4 25:8 25:11 28:6
නු සෙන් සිනි සිනු	2 41:6 41:8 41:10 41:14 41:	Participants	28:12
<u>5</u> 20720720821524:	22 47:23 47:24 48:1 48:7	[1] 17:15 Donting 3.5	Pretty
72132962123-14 711331.012.05.0-	Nichols'	Particular	(1) 4:15
3B3142454: 6	[1] 41:20	[1] 7:19 Dattorgon	Previous
Minute	Non	Patterson	[1] 7:4
M N615213122363	[1] 34:15	[2] 5:12 6:3	Previously
489451	Non-Caucasian	Pause [1] 46:3	[2] 8:14 28:1
Minutes	[1] 34:15	PC	Principal
Q11E15327	Nonrelatives	[2] 1:19 2:8	[1] 9:17
Misled	(1) 42:2	Pennsylvania	Priorities
(1) 4021	Normally	[5] 1:1 1:17 1:20 6:1 20:12	[1] 10:21
Misleaded	[1] 8:13	People	Privately
[2] 41:141.3	Notary	[33] 10:22 18:22 18:22 18:	[1] 12:4
		p (4

Privilegse 1:U4-cV-UUZD4550W:9 15.000mbnt608 TIPONUOMONZOUD 20 Payes pi oo [8] 36:20 37:17 37:25 38:1 38:7 38:18 40:14 40:17 22 37:1 37:7 37:8 37:16 39: 25 40:8 47:25 18 30:15 30:15 30:17 30:18 f11 41:10 45:3 45:7 45:11 Sic Recorded Review Problem [3] 10:20 41:1 41:3 [1] 4:24 [3] 10:19 40:19 40:20 F21 48:6 48:8 Simple Recoup Richard Proceed [1] 4:16 [1] 20:8 f11 39:24 [1] 2:11 Sister-in-law Recruit Proceeding Rights [5] 25:9 43:1 43:3 43:7 43: [1] 31:14 111 15:7 f11 8:2 Refer Role Proceedings 121 7:25 30:4 [11 39:15 [3] 38:3 41:11 46:3 [4] 7:20 7:20 8:24 9:4 Reference Route Process Sit-down [1] 25:24 [1] 2:12 [1] 14:15 [4] 7:20 7:20 8:24 9:4 Referenced Professor Rowena Sitting [5] 9:2 12:10 15:16 15:21 [3] 1:2 6:20 7:3 [1] 23:12 [3] 12:18 26:16 46:10 Rules Program Situation Referring [9] 10:19 24:23 34:11 42:9 [3] 4:15 5:5 14:24 [6] 22:22 24:4 25:2 42:8 [3] 7:16 13:24 27:25 42:10 42:12 43:19 44:2 44: 45:7 45:11 Rim Refers [2] 6:2 30:13 Smart Promoting [11 15:13 Running [2] 18:23 19:10 [1] 33:19 Reflect F11 8:8 Smith [6] 15:10 36:22 37:1 37:7 Proven [4] 20:9 20:10 30:15 45:3 [3] 18:22 19:11 23:8 37:9 37:16 S Sondra Refused Public (2) 1:16 1:25 Safety [13 17:12 [11 1:16 Soon [1] 11.25 Regarding Purpose [1] 25:12 Sam [6] 11:15 13:13 15:15 22: 111 14:16 [5] 20:5 30:14 31:8 32:23 Sorry 20 27:24 48:5 Put [7] 4:10 5:13 13:16 16:15 Regular [21 12:7 18:8 24:6 24:10 44:3 Save [2] 9:23 9:24 Sort [1] 46:18 Regulations Q [3] 22:2 25:5 30:12 Scales [4] 16:23 16:25 26:24 27:1 Source Qualified [2] 20:8 30:15 Relate Scheduled [1] 23:13 121 34:12 45:17 [1] 10:7 Speaking [1] 11:4 Ouestioning Relationship [1] 15:11 [1] 38:12 School [1] 44:1 Specific Ouestions [43] 1:5 1:5 2:6 2:6 4:10 Relatives 4:11 6:12 6:13 6:14 7:9 7: [3] 7:25 30:8 34:20 [10] 4:16 4:18 4:19 10:11 [13] 21:6 24:24 35:22 35: 23 35:24 42:2 42:3 42:4 42: 11 43:18 44:1 44:6 45:15 14 7:20 9:1 9:6 9:18 10:21 Specify 14:1 14:19 41:18 47:4 47:5 11:17 12:1 16:12 18:11 20: 5 20:6 23:22 24:18 25:12 [2] 7:24 8:1 48:1 Quickly Speculate Relevant 26:13 28:4 28:13 29:7 31:9 31:12 31:25 33:18 39:7 42: [1] 30:13 (3) 8:17 8:17 14:10 121 14:20 14:21 Ouite Remember Speculation 1 42:15 43:1 43:12 44:1 45: 111 42:17 4 45:13 46:25 47:17 [13] 9:13 12:14 14:5 14:8 111 14:11 15:19 16:3 23:16 26:2 26:3 27:10 27:12 35:5 45:20 Second Speechify R [2] 18:23 38:21 111 40:6 Rename Speechifying Secretary Race [1] 30:13 [4] 11:8 20:19 20:22 30:20 f11 40:9 [1] 31:3 Rendell Spoken Racial [1] 21:2 [4] 15:7 19:12 21:25 25:19 [1] 47:12 [3] 30:23 34:19 35:23 Rephrase Seem Stage Radio [6] 4:23 8:23 35:18 35:19 [2] 22:2 27:24 [1] 24:10 [1] 18:12 37:3 42:19 Stallard Send Raise Reported [2] 20:20 20:24 [3] 21:8 21:9 30:21 121 8:11 39:23 [1] 1:25 Standing Senior Raised Reporter [2] 37:18 37:20 [1] 9:21 [2] 15:11 39:2 131 5:4 38:3 41:11 Sennett Stanley Raising Reporting [2] 1:19 2:8 [3] 20:9 20:10 30:15 [1] 39:2 [1] 1:26 Sense Start Ralph Represent [3] 19:19 28:3 34:7 111 34:2 111 5:10 [3] 4:9 4:10 47:11 Started Set Ran Representative 131 42:19 42:22 42:23 [8] 22:2 27:24 34:4 42:16 [1] 33:21 [1] 37:22 42:21 43:11 44:13 44:17 State Rather Request Seven [4] 15:8 16:22 24:17 40:4 [1] 44:6 [1] 37:13 [2] 7:1 7:2 Statement Read Requested Several [7] 16:12 18:12 18:18 18: [1] 24:8 [1] 37:3 21 18:23 19:8 32:13 [10] 7:14 7:14 18:11 18:15 Really Require 19:24 29:8 29:8 29:14 40:1 Statements [16] 12:16 13:6 13:19 13: [1] 24:18 [2] 18:25 32:10 19 15:22 19:2 19:3 19:4 19: 7 19:18 25:23 26:1 31:16 Requires Shoulders States [1] 24:13 [1] 5:1 34:9 47:10 47:12 [2] 1:1 5:22 Respect Show Resean Stating [1] 34:9 [3] 29:12 30:5 33:4 [6] 8:24 8:25 16:11 17:20 [1] 15:2 Responding 18:16 43:25 Showed Step (3) 39:14 39:16 39:17 [6] 29:17 30:8 31:5 32:6 Recant [1] 25:20 46:15 46:25 Responses [1] 35:17 Steroid [1] 4:25 Showing Recently [1] 10:18 Responsible [1] 30:23 [2] 6:23 10:2 Steroids [1] 35:11 Shows Recess [3] 10:6 10:17 27:14 [1] 31:20 Restate [11 38:9 Stop [1] 41:24 Shrug [1] 36:25 Record Reverend [1] 5:1 [16] 8:22 12:9 14:7 14:13 Street

Case 1:04-cv-00264-SJM Document 68 Filed 06/26/2006 Page 55 of 55

CERTIFICATION

I, Sondra A. Black, a Court Reporter and Notary

Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, do

hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate

transcript of my stenographic notes in the

above-captioned matter.

Sypha a plack

Notarial Seal
idra A. Black, Notary Pi
Waterford Twp., Erie Cor
relssion Expires Aug